C5AC6A	1.374 (2)	C8B—C9B	1.450 (2)
C6A—F5A	1.340 (2)	C9B—O2B	1.251 (2)
C7AC8A	1.325 (3)	C9B—O1B	1.293 (2)
C8AC9A	1.469 (2)	C10B—N1B	1.449 (2)
C9A—O2A	1.246 (2)	C11 <i>B</i> —N1 <i>B</i>	1.446 (2)
C2A-C1A-C6A	115.2 (2)	C7AC8AC9A	120.3 (2)
C2AC1AC7A	119.6 (2)	02AC9AO1A	123.6 (2)
C6AC1AC7A	125.2 (2)	02AC9AC8A	121.6 (2)
F1AC2AC3A	117.2 (2)	01AC9AC8A	114.9 (2)
FIAC1AC1A	119.8 (2)	C6BC1BC2B	117.1 (2)
C3A-C2A-C1A	123.0 (2)	C6BC1BC7B	119.8 (2)
F2A-C3A-C4A	119.9 (2)	C2BC1BC7B	123.0 (2)
F2A-C3A-C2A	120.5 (2)	C3BC2BC1B	121.7 (2)
C4AC3AC2A	119.6 (2)	C2BC3BC4B	120.8 (2)
F3A—C4A—C3A	120.0 (2)	N1BC4BC5B	121.6 (2)
F3AC4AC5A	120.4 (2)	N1BC4BC3B	121.1 (2)
C3AC4AC5A	119.6 (2)	C5BC4BC3B	117.3 (2)
F4AC5AC4A	119.8 (2)	C6BC5BC4B	121.1 (2)
F4AC5AC6A	120.1 (2)	C5BC6BC1B	122.0 (2)
C4A—C5A—C6A	120.1 (2)	C8B—C7B—C1B	128.0 (2)
F5AC6AC5A	117.1 (2)	C7BC8BC9B	121.5 (2)
F5AC6AC1A	120.4 (2)	O2BC9BO1B	122.5 (2)
C5AC6AC1A	122.4 (2)	O2BC9BC8B	121.7 (2)
C8AC7AC1A	128.4 (2)	O1BC9BC8B	115.8 (2)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	DH	HA	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots H$
O1A—H1A···O2B	0.98 (3)	1.64 (3)	2.618 (1)	173 (3)
O1 <i>B</i> —H1 <i>B</i> ···O2A	0.90 (3)	1.74 (3)	2.626(1)	169 (3)
$C8A - H8A \cdot \cdot \cdot O1A^{i}$	1.00 (2)	2.904 (19)	3.882 (4)	167 (1)
C2 <i>B</i> —H2 <i>B</i> ···O1 <i>B</i> [™]	0.97 (2)	2.689 (18)	3.374 (4)	129 (1)
C3B—H3B···O2A ⁱⁱ	0.99 (2)	2.872 (19)	3.820 (4)	159 (1)
C5B—H5B····O1A ⁱⁱⁱ	0.96 (2)	2.90 (2)	3.633 (4)	135 (1)
C6 <i>B</i> —H6 <i>B</i> ···O2 <i>B</i> ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97 (2)	2.92 (2)	3.571 (4)	125 (1)
C10 <i>B</i> —H102 <i>B</i> ···O1 <i>B</i> ^{iv}	1.03 (2)	2.91 (2)	3.318 (4)	105 (1)
$C11B$ — $H112B \cdots O1A^{iii}$	0.96 (2)	2.90 (2)	3.525 (4)	162 (2)
C11BH113B····O1A ^{iv}	1.05 (2)	2.90 (2)	3.888 (4)	158 (2)
$C2B - H2B \cdot \cdot \cdot F2A^{\vee}$	0.97 (2)	2.687 (19)	3.420 (2)	133 (1)
C7 <i>B</i> —H7 <i>B</i> ···F4 <i>A</i> ⁱ	0.89 (2)	2.635 (19)	3.479 (4)	161 (1)
$C8B - H8B \cdot \cdot \cdot F1A^{v}$	0.94 (2)	2.781 (18)	3.556 (4)	141 (1)
C10B—H101B···F3A ^{vi}	1.04 (2)	2.76 (2)	3.607 (4)	152 (2)
C10B—H101B···F1A ⁱⁱ	1.04 (2)	2.80 (2)	3.491 (4)	124 (1)
C11B—H111B····F3A ^{vi}	0.96 (2)	2.39 (3)	3.346 (3)	175 (2)
Symmetry codes: (i) 1	-x, 1-y	y, 2 - z; (ii) 2	2-x, 2-y,	3 - z; (iii)
2-x, 1-y, 3-z; (iv).	x, y, 1+z; (v) $2 - x, 2 - y$, 2 - z; (vi) 1	+x, y, 2+z

Data collection: Syntex R3 diffractometer. Cell refinement: Syntex R3 diffractometer. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1994). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); PLUTO (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

We thank the Department of Science and Technology and the University Grants Commission, Government of India for financial assistance. We thank Dr W. T. Robinson, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, for the data collection.

References

- Goud, B. S., Pathaneni, S. S. & Desiraju, G. R. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1107-1111.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Leiserowitz, L. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 775-802.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sarma, J. A. R. P. & Desiraju, G. R. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1905–1912.
- Schmidt, G. M. J. (1964). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 2014-2021.
- Sharma, C. V. K., Panneerselvam, K., Pilati, T. & Desiraju, G. R. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 2209–2217.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Weber, E., Hecker, M., Csoregh, I. & Czugler, M. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 7866-7872.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 685-688

3,5-Diamino-6-(2-methylphenyl)-1,2,4triazine Monohydrate: an Analogue of Lamotrigine

ROBERT W. JANES AND R. A. PALMER

Department of Crystallography, Birkbeck College, University of London, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, England

(Received 9 May 1994; accepted 6 December 1994)

Abstract

The asymmetric unit of $2C_{10}H_{11}N_5.H_2O$ contains two conformers of the triazine molecule and a water molecule; each conformer has distinct dihedral angles between the respective phenyl and triazine rings [100.8 (1) and 61.7 (1)°]. These two conformers exhibit significant differences in certain bond lengths and angles which may arise because of their different dihedral angles. Although crystallized from acetonitrile, the extensive hydrogen-bonding network that maintains the crystal structure incorporates a water molecule.

Comment

The molecule studied is an analogue of the anticonvulsant 3,5-diamino-6-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,4-triazine, known as lamotrigine (Janes, Lisgarten & Palmer, 1989), and was supplied by Wellcome Pharmaceuticals (UK). The structure was determined as part of an on-going investigation into structure-activity studies on a series of lamotrigine analogues (Janes & Palmer,

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HR1028). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Desiraju, G. R. & Sharma, C. V. K. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1239–1240.

1995*a*,*b*). The single crystal was grown by slow evaporation from acetonitrile, but no precautions were taken to dry the solvent. As a result of previous studies where methanol solvent molecules had been included in the structure, the crystal was mounted in a capillary tube with mother liquor present as a precaution against possible drying and loss of crystallinity.

Two conformations of the 2-methylphenyl analogue (I) exist in the asymmetric unit, and a prime is added in the numbering scheme to the second conformer to distinguish between them. A water molecule was also found in the structure and it is probable that it came from the solvent. The ring moieties of both conformations are planar and the methyl substituent C atoms lie in the planes of their respective phenyl rings. The amino N5 atom is displaced 0.150(1) Å from its triazine ring. while the remaining amino N atoms are planar with their rings. There is a marked difference between the dihedral angles of the two conformers; 100.8 (1)° for the first and 61.7 (1)° for the second. There are also differences in bond lengths, both within each phenyl ring and in comparisons between comparable bonds between the phenyl rings. Within the triazine rings the N1-N2 and N4-C5t bonds exhibit significant differences in length between the two conformers. Some of the corresponding angles within the phenyl and triazine ring moieties also exhibit differences. While the bonds of the amino groups in the first conformer are identical in length, those of the second conformer differ significantly.



There is pronounced distortion within the first conformer in the relative juxtapositions of the phenyl and triazine rings, particularly with respect to their common axis, denoted by C3t, C6t, C1 and C4. C4 is displaced 0.252(1) Å from the triazine ring plane, while the nonbonding angle C3t...C6t...C4 is 170.6(1)°. In comparison, the second conformer is far less distorted (Fig. 1).

There is an extensive hydrogen-bonding network within the crystal structure, incorporating the triazine rings of both conformers and the water molecule. However, while there are seven potential sites for hydrogen bonding around the triazine ring moiety, only five and six are utilized by the two conformers, respectively. Both conformers form dimers coupled by a centre of symmetry between their respective N4 and H31 atoms and this dimeric form has not been found in previous studies of related analogue structures (Janes, Lisgarten & Palmer, 1989; Janes & Palmer, 1995a,b). For both conformers H51 remains unused for hydrogen bonding, while H32 is not used in the first conformer.



Fig. 1. View (SNOOPI; Davies, 1983) along the triazine ring atoms C3t and C6t, and C3t' and C6t' of the two conformers, illustrating both the differences in dihedral angles and the degree of distortion present in each of the molecules.



Fig. 2. Stereoview (SNOOPI; Davies, 1983) of the molecular packing along the b axis.



Fig. 3. Thermal ellipsoid plot (50% probability) of the title molecule showing the atomic labelling scheme.

Experimental

Crystal data 2C10H11N5.H2O Cu $K\alpha$ radiation $M_r = 420.4$ $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 25 $P2_1/n$ reflections $\theta = 9 - 32^{\circ}$ a = 9.672(2) Å b = 11.690(2) Å $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 19.184(4) Å T = 293 K $\beta = 95.12(2)^{\circ}$ Prismatic

	0.20 0.20 0.20	01 04	1 402 (2)		1 210 (2)
$V = 2160.4 (6) \text{ A}^3$	$0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm	C1C67	1.493 (3)	C6/NI	1.310(3)
Z = 4	Colourless	NI-NZ	1.341 (2)	NZ-CSI	1.340 (3)
$D_{\rm r} = 1.29 \ {\rm Mg \ m^{-3}}$	Crystal source: crystallized	C31-194	1.344 (3)	$C_2 N_2$	1.336 (3)
	from acetonitrile	C_{3}	1.432 (2)	C_{2}	1.554 (5)
		$C_{1}^{\prime} - C_{2}^{\prime}$	1.555(5)	$C_2 - C_2^{\prime}$	1.494 (4)
Data collection		C1 - C2 C3' - C4'	1 398 (4)	$C_{2} = C_{3}$ $C_{4}' = C_{5}'$	1 355 (4)
Dula collection		C5'-C6'	1 384 (3)	C6'C1'	1 391 (3)
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0081$	C1' - C6t'	1.485 (2)	C6t' - N1'	1.300 (2)
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 60^{\circ}$	N1' - N2'	1.355 (2)	N2' - C3t'	1.341 (2)
ω -2 θ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 11$	C3t'-N4'	1.347 (2)	N4'-C5t'	1.327 (2)
Absorption correction:	$k = -1A \rightarrow 1A$	C5t'-C6t'	1.439 (3)	C3t'-N3'	1.342 (2)
none	$l = -23 \rightarrow 23$	C5t'-N5'	1.331 (2)	C2'—C21'	1.497 (4)
8893 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	C1—C2—C3	118.5 (2)	C1'-C2'-C3'	117.7 (2)
4107 independent reflections	fraguenov: 60 min	C2—C3—C4	121.0 (2)	C2'-C3'-C4'	121.5 (2)
4107 independent reflections	nequency. oo mm	C3C4C5	120.5 (3)	C3'-C4'-C5'	120.1 (2)
3373 observed reflections	intensity decay: $< 10\%$	C4—C5—C6	119.4 (3)	C4' - C5' - C6'	120.0 (3)
$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$		CS-C6-C1	120.6 (2)	CS - C6 - CT	121.2(2)
		C_{0}	119.9 (2)	$C_0 - C_1 - C_2$	119.0 (2)
Refinement		$C_2 = C_1 = C_0 r$	119.9 (2)	$C_2 = C_1 = C_0 $	122.2(2)
	$w = 1.0/(\pi^2/E)$	C_{1} C_{1} C_{1} C_{1} C_{1} C_{1}	119.0 (2)	C0 - C1 - C0	110.2 (2)
Refinement on F	w = 1.0/[0 (P)]	C6 = N1 = N2	1217(2)	$C_{0}^{\prime} = 0^{\prime} = 0^{\prime}$	121 1 (2)
R = 0.0566	$+ 0.010180(F)^{-1}$	NI_N2_C3	127.7(2)	N4' - C3t' - N3'	1172(2)
wR = 0.0767	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.640$	N2-C3t-N4	125.0 (2)	N2' - C3t' - N4'	125.9 (2)
S = 1.37	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.37 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	C31-N4-C51	116.3 (2)	C3t'-N4'-C5t'	115.6 (2)
3373 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.27 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	N4-C5t-C6t	120.3 (2)	N4'-C5t'-C6t'	120.4 (2)
	Extinction correction: none	C5t—C6t—N1	118.9 (2)	C5t' - C6t' - N1'	119.5 (2)
341 parameters	A tomic contenting for	C51-C61-C1	124.7 (2)	C5t'-C6t'-C1'	121.4 (2)
All H-atom parameters	Atomic scattering fac-	N2-C3t-N3	115.8 (2)	N2' - C3t' - N3'	116.8 (2)
refined	tors from SHELX76	N4—C3t—N3	119.2 (2)	N1' - N2' - C3t'	117.2 (2)
	(Sheldrick, 1976)	N4-C51-N5	119.6 (2)	N4'-C5t'-N5'	118.6 (2)
		C61—C51—N5	120.1 (2)	C6t'-C5t'-N5'	121.0 (2)
		C1—C2—C21	121.6 (2)	C1'-C2'-C21'	123.2 (2)
Table I. Fractional atomic	coordinates and equivalent	C3-C2-C21	119.9 (2)	C3'-C2'-C21'	119.1 (2)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$						
	x	у	z	U_{eq}		
Cl	-0.1122 (2)	0.4359 (2)	-0.1331 (1)	0.047 (1)		
C2	-0.0946 (2)	0.4560 (2)	-0.2028(1)	0.054 (1)		
C3	-0.1416(3)	0.5607 (2)	-0.2326(1)	0.065 (1)		
C4	-0.2049 (3)	0.6407 (2)	-0.1938 (2)	0.071 (1)		
C5	-0.2282 (3)	0.6184 (3)	-0.1253 (2)	0.070 (1)		
C6	-0.1809 (3)	0.5167 (2)	-0.0947 (1)	0.060(1)		
C21	-0.0219 (4)	0.3721 (3)	-0.2456 (2)	0.082 (2)		
N1	0.0897 (2)	0.3437 (2)	-0.0792 (1)	0.055 (1)		
N2	0.1615 (2)	0.2597 (2)	-0.0450(1)	0.057 (1)		
C3t	0.0912 (2)	0.1658 (2)	-0.0280(1)	0.046 (1)		
N4	-0.0451 (2)	0.1482(1)	-0.0445 (1)	0.046 (1)		
C5t	-0.1142 (2)	0.2323 (2)	-0.0798 (1)	0.043 (1)		
C6t	-0.0440(2)	0.3352 (2)	-0.0966 (1)	0.047 (1)		
N3	0.1651 (2)	0.0867 (2)	0.0091 (1)	0.058 (1)		
N5	-0.2488 (2)	0.2193 (2)	-0.0999 (1)	0.056 (1)		
C1'	0.8190 (2)	0.1842 (2)	0.1726(1)	0.045 (1)		
C2′	0.8365 (2)	0.2283 (2)	0.2412 (1)	0.051 (1)		
C3′	0.9337 (3)	0.1752 (2)	0.2887 (1)	0.065 (1)		
C4′	1.0133 (3)	0.0828 (3)	0.2690 (2)	0.072 (1)		
C5′	0.9967 (3)	0.0427 (2)	0.2025 (2)	0.072 (2)		
C6′	0.8984 (3)	0.0913 (2)	0.1546 (1)	0.058 (1)		
C21′	0.7545 (3)	0.3271 (3)	0.2653 (2)	0.073 (1)		
N1'	0.6164 (2)	0.1665 (1)	0.0913 (1)	0.044 (1)		
N2'	0.5211 (2)	0.2060 (1)	0.0409 (1)	0.045 (1)		
C3t'	0.5309 (2)	0.3161 (2)	0.0224 (1)	0.041 (1)		
N4'	0.6306 (2)	0.3899 (1)	0.0469 (1)	0.045 (1)		
C5ť	0.7267 (2)	0.3474 (2)	0.0935 (1)	0.047 (1)		
C61'	0.7157 (2)	0.2320 (2)	0.1184 (1)	0.043 (1)		
N3'	0.4354 (2)	0.3548 (2)	-0.0271 (1)	0.050(1)		
N5'	0.8346 (2)	0.4129 (2)	0.1151 (1)	0.070 (1)		
01 <i>A</i>	-0.4651 (2)	0.0562 (2)	-0.0804(1)	0.077 (1)		

Table 2. Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1-C2	1.384 (3)	C2—C3	1.408 (3)
C3—C4	1.373 (4)	C4—C5	1.378 (4)
C5-C6	1.386 (4)	C6C1	1.401 (3)

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	<i>D</i> —Н	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	
N3—H31···N4 ⁱ	0.98 (4)	2.11 (4)	3.080 (3)	170.8 (3.2)	
N5—H52· · ·O1A ⁱⁱ	0.85 (3)	2.06 (3)	2.880 (3)	161.9 (2.9)	
N3'—H31'···N4' ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95 (3)	2.15 (3)	3.068 (3)	162.4 (2.3)	
N3'—H32'…N2"	1.00(4)	1.95 (4)	2.865 (3)	151.3 (3.0)	
N5′—H52′···N1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.88 (3)	2.17 (4)	3.032 (3)	167.7 (2.7)	
O1A—H1A···N2′™	0.92 (4)	2.10 (4)	2.925 (3)	148.5 (2.9)	
O1A—H2A···N1′ ¹	0.86 (5)	2.20 (5)	2.985 (3)	152.7 (4.6)	
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z$; (ii) x, y, z ; (iii) $1 - x, 1 - y, -z$;					
(iv) - 1 + x, y, z.					

All H atoms of the phenyl rings were positioned from geometry constraints, while the remaining H atoms were located in difference maps.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Program used to solve structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Program used to draw figures: SNOOPI (Davies, 1983). Additional molecular geometry calculations: XANADU (Roberts & Sheldrick, 1975) and PARST (Nardelli, 1983).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: LI1108). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Davies, E. K. (1983). SNOOPI. Molecular Plotting Program. Univ. of Oxford, England.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Janes, R. W., Lisgarten, J. N. & Palmer, R. A. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 129-132.

Janes, R. W. & Palmer, R. A. (1995a). Acta Cryst. In the press.

Janes, R. W. & Palmer, R. A. (1995b). Acta Cryst. Submitted.

- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Roberts, P. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1975). XANADU. Program for Crystallographic Calculations. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 688-690

Z-AzPro-AzPro-OBzl

CLAUDE DIDIERJEAN AND ANDRE AUBRY*

Laboratoire de Minéralogie, Cristallographie, et Physique Infrarouge, URA CNRS 809, Université de Nancy I, BP 239, 54506 Vandoeuvre les Nancy CEDEX, France

Mohammed Zouikri, Guy Boussard and Michel Marraud

Laboratoire de Chimie Physique Macromoléculaire, ENSIC-INPL, URA CNRS 494, BP451, 54001 Nancy CEDEX, France

(Received 22 April 1994; accepted 1 November 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, benzyl 1-(2-benzyloxycarbonyl-1pyrazolidinylcarbonyl)pyrazolidine-2-carboxylate, C_{23} - $H_{26}N_4O_5$, derived from the α -aza analogue of proline, crystallizes with two independent molecules per asymmetric unit, adopting two quasi mirror-image conformations. Because of steric hindrance and unfavourable polar interactions between the carbonyl groups connected to the same pyrazolidine ring, the N atoms lose part of their *sp*² character so that they are far from being planar and the N—CO bonds are noticeably longer than in peptides.

Comment

Aza peptides are peptide analogues where an N atom is substituted for the α -C atom in one or several constituent α -amino acids (Niedrich, 1967; Niedrich & Köller, 1974; Dutta & Morley, 1975; Gante, 1989), but their conformational properties have not been investigated until very recently. We have shown by X-ray diffraction and spectroscopic analysis that α -azaproline (AzPro) behaves as an 'anti-proline' residue, in the sense that it induces folding of the preceding sequence instead of the following sequence (Lecoq, Boussard, Marraud & Aubry, 1992, 1993). During the synthesis of AzPro derivatives using triphosgene as carbonyl-

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved ating agent, we obtained a secondary product which was shown to be an AzPro 'dimer' of formula Z-AzPro-AzPro-OBzl (I). Single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethyl acetate solution.



The unit cell contains two independent molecules with quasi-mirror-image conformations. Each of the molecules adopts an S-shaped structure in which the middle carbonyl bond can be considered as lying on a quasi-twofold axis (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1965) of the title molecule A, projected along the middle C=O pseudo-twofold axis, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Although both N atoms in the AzPro residue are acylated, their bond angles show that they are not strictly in the sp^2 electronic state, thus reducing the electronic conjugation of the N-atom lone pair with the carbonyl π -orbitals. This ensures that the N—CO bond is longer than the usual value (Benedetti, 1979) and that the N-atom lone pair is accessible to hydrogen bonding (Lecoq, Boussard, Marraud & Aubry, 1993). A planar conformation of the N atoms would induce severe steric hindrance between the carbonyl groups connected to the same pyrazolidine ring. The N-CO bonds tend to adopt antiperiplanar orientations with a C(==O)--N—N—C(==O) torsion angle of nearly $\pm 100^{\circ}$, thus conferring a chiral structure on the N atoms. Both (R,R)and (S,S)-chiralities are equally possible for the prochiral AzPro residue and depend on the peptide sequence (Lecoq, Boussard, Marraud & Aubry, 1993). In the present case, the (S,S)-chirality is observed for molecule A and the (R,R)-chirality for molecule B.

> Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995